# CS315 Class Notes

#### Raphael Finkel

#### May 4, 2021

#### **1 Intro**

#### $|Class\ 1, 1/26/2021|$

- Handout  $1 My$  names
- TA:
- Plagiarism read aloud
- Assignments on web. Use C, C++, or Java.
- E-mail list:
- accounts in MultiLab
- text we will skip around

# **2 Basic building blocks: Linked lists (Chapter 3) and trees (Chapter 4)**

Linked lists and trees are examples of **data structures**:

- way to represent information
- so it can be manipulated
- packaged with routines that do the manipulations

Leads to an **Abstract Data Type (ADT)**: has an API (specification) and hides its internals.

#### **3 Tools**

**Use**

**Specification**

**Implementation**

# **4 Singly-linked list**

- used as a part of several ADTs.
- Can be considered an ADT itself.
- Collection of **nodes**, each with optional arbitrary **data** and a **pointer** to the next element on the list.



CS315 Spring 2021 3

### **5 Sample code (in C)**

```
1 #define NULL 0
2 #include <stdlib.h>
3
4 typedef struct node_s {
5 int data;
6 struct node s *next;
7 } node;
8
9 node *makeNode(int data, node* next) {
10 node *answer = (node *) malloc(sizeof(node));
11 answer->data = data;
12 answer->next = next;
13 return(answer);
14 } // makeNode
15
16 node *insertAtFront(node* handle, int data) {
17 node *answer = makeNode(data, handle->next);
_{18} handle->next = answer;
19 return(answer);
20 } // insertAtFront
21
22 node *searchDataIterative(node *handle, int data) {
23 // iterative method
24 node *current = handle->next;
25 while (current != NULL) {
26 if (current->data == data) break;
27 current = current->next;
28 }
29 return current;
30 } // searchDataIterative
31
32 node *searchDataRecursive(node *handle, int data) {
33 // recursive method
34 node *current = handle->next;
35 if (current == NULL) return NULL;
36 else if (current->data == data) return current;
37 else return searchDataRecursive(current, data);
38 } // searchDataRecursive
```
## **6 Improving the efficiency of some operations**

- To make count() fast: maintain the count in a separate variable. If we need the count more often than we insert and delete, it is worthwhile.
- To make insert at rear fast: maintain two handles, one to the front, the other to the rear of the list.
- Combine these new items in a header node:

```
1 typedef struct {
```

```
2 node *front;
```
- <sup>3</sup> node \*rear;
- <sup>4</sup> **int** count;
- <sup>5</sup> } nodeHeader;
- $\text{Class } 2, 1/28/2021$
- To make search faster: remove the special case that we reach the end of the list by placing a **pseudo-data node** at the end. Keep track of the pseudo-data node in the header.

```
1 typedef struct {
2 node *front;
3 node *rear;
4 node *pseudo;
5 int count;
6 } nodeHeader;
7
8 node *searchDataIterative(nodeHeader *header, int data) {
9 // iterative method
10 header->pseudo->data = data;
11 node *current = header->front;
12 while (current->data != data) {
13 current = current->next;
14 }
15 return (current == header->pseudo ? NULL : current);
16 } // searchDataIterative
```
- Exercise: If we want both pseudo-data and a rear pointer, how does an empty list look?
- Exercise: If we want pseudo-data, how does searchDataRecursive() change?
- Exercise: Is it easy to add a new node *after* a given node?
- Exercise: Is it easy to add a new node *before* a given node?

# **7 Aside: Unix pipes**

- Unix programs automatically have three "files" open: standard input, which is by default the keyboard, standard output, which is by default the screen, and standard error, which is by default the screen.
- In C and C++, they are defined in stdio.h by the names stdin, stdout, and stderr.
- The command interpreter (in Unix, it's called the "shell") lets you invoke programs redirecting any or all of these three. For instance, ls | wc redirects stdout of the ls program to stdin of the wc program.
- If you run your trains program without redirection, you can type in arbitrary numbers.
- If you run randGen.pl without redirection, it generates an unbounded list of pseudo-random numbers to stdout.
- If you run randGen.pl  $|$  trains, the list of numbers from randGen.pl is redirected as input to trains.

# **8 Stacks, queues, dequeues: built out of either linked lists or arrays**

• We'll see each of these.

#### **9 Stack of integer**

- Abstract definition: either **empty** or the result of pushing an integer onto the stack.
- operations
	- stack makeEmptyStack()
	- **boolean** isEmptyStack(stack S)
	- **int** popStack(stack \*S) // modifies S

• **void** pushStack(stack \*S, **int** I) // modifies S

# **10 Implementation 1 of Stack: Linked list**

- makeEmptyStack implemented by makeEmptyList()
- isEmptyStack implemented by isEmptyList()
- pushStack inserts at the front of the list
- popStack deletes from the front of the list

## **11 Implementation 2 of Stack: Array**

•  $\boxed{\text{Class } 3, 2/2/2021}$ 

```
• Warning: it's easy to make off-by-one errors.
1 #define MAXSTACKSIZE 10
2 #include <stdlib.h>
\overline{2}4 typedef struct {
5 int contents[MAXSTACKSIZE];
    int count; // index of first free space in contents
7 } stack;
8
9 stack *makeEmptyStack() {
10 stack *answer = (stack *) malloc(sizeof(stack));
11 answer->count = 0;
12 return answer;
13 } // makeEmptyStack
14
15 void pushOntoStack(stack *theStack, int data) {
16 if (theStack->count == MAXSTACKSIZE) {
17 (void) error("stack_overflow");
18 } else {
19 theStack->contents[theStack->count] = data;
20 theStack->count += 1;
21 }
22 } // pushOntoStack
23
24 int popFromStack(stack *theStack) {
25 if (theStack->count == 0) {
26 return error("stack_underflow");
27 } else {
28 theStack->count -= 1;
29 return theStack->contents[theStack->count];
30 }
31 } // popFromStack
```
- The array implementation limits the size. Does the linked-list implementation also limit the size?
- The array implementation needs one cell per (potential) element, and one for the count. How much space does the linked-list implementation need?
- We can position two opposite-sense stacks in one array so long as

their combined size never exceeds MAXSTACKSIZE.

### **12 Queue of integer**

- Abstract definition: either **empty** or the result of inserting an integer at the rear of a queue or deleting an integer from the front of a queue.
- operations
	- queue makeEmptyQueue()
	- **boolean** isEmptyQueue(queue Q)
	- **void** insertInQueue(queue Q, **int** I) // modifies Q
	- **int** deleteFromQueue(queue Q) // modifies Q

# **13 Implementation 1 of Queue: Linked list**

We use a header to represent the front and the rear, and we put a dummy node at the front to make the code work equally well for an empty queue.



```
1 #include <stdlib.h>
\gamma3 typedef struct node_s {
4 int data;
5 struct node s *next;
6 } node;
7
8 typedef struct {
9 node *front;
10 node *rear;
11 } queue;
12
13 queue *makeEmptyQueue() {
14 queue *answer = (queue *) malloc(sizeof(queue));
15 answer->front = answer->rear = makeNode(0, NULL);
16 return answer;
17 } // makeEmptyQueue
18
19 bool isEmptyQueue(queue *theQueue) {
20 return (theQueue->front == theQueue->rear);
21 } // isEmptyQueue
2223 void insertInQueue(queue *theQueue, int data) {
_{24} node *newNode = makeNode(data, NULL);
25 theQueue->rear->next = newNode;
26 theQueue->rear = newNode;
27 } // insertInQueue
28
29 int deleteFromQueue(queue *theQueue) {
30 if (isEmptyQueue(theQueue)) return error("queue underflow");
31 node *oldNode = theQueue->front->next;
32 theQueue->front->next = oldNode->next;
33 if (theQueue->front->next == NULL) {
34 theQueue->rear = theQueue->front;
35 }
36 return oldNode->data;
37 } // deleteFromQueue
```
# **14 Implementation 2 of Queue: Array**

**Warning:** it's easy to make off-by-one errors.



```
1 #define MAXQUEUESIZE 30
\overline{2}3 typedef struct {
    int contents[MAXQUEUESIZE];
5 int front; // index of element at the front
    int rear; // index of first free space after the queue
7 } queue;
8
9 bool isEmptyQueue(queue *theQueue) {
10 return (theQueue->front == theQueue->rear);
11 } // isEmptyQueue
12
13 int nextSlot(int index) { // circular
14 return (index + 1) % MAXQUEUESIZE;
15 } // nextSlot
16
17 void insertInQueue(queue *theQueue, int data) {
18 if (nextSlot(theQueue->rear) == theQueue->front)
19 error("queue overflow");
20 else {
21 theQueue->contents[theQueue->rear] = data;
22 theQueue->rear = nextSlot(theQueue->rear);
23 }
24 } // insertInQueue
25
26 int deleteFromQueue(queue *theQueue) {
27 if (isEmptyQueue(theQueue)) {
<sup>28</sup> return error("queue_underflow");
29 } else {
30 int answer = theQueue->contents[theQueue->front];
31 theQueue->front = nextSlot(theQueue->front);
32 return answer;
33 }
34 } // deleteFromQueue
```
### **15 Dequeue of integer**

- Abstract definition: either **empty** or the result of inserting an integer at the front or rear of a dequeue or deleting an integer from the front or rear of a queue.
- operations
	- dequeue makeEmptyDequeue()
	- **boolean** isEmptyDequeue(dequeue D)
	- **void** insertFrontDequeue(dequeue D, **int** I) // modifies D
	- **void** insertRearDequeue(dequeue D, **int** I) // modifies D
	- **int** deleteFrontDequeue(dequeue D) // modifies D
	- **int** deleteRearDequeue(dequeue D) // modifies D
- Exercise: code the insertFrontDequeue() and deleteRearDequeue() routines using an array.
- All operations for a singly-linked list implementation are  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  except for deleteRearDequeue(), which is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .
- The best list structure is a **doubly-linked list** with a single dummy node.



- Exercise: Code all the routines.
- Exercise: Is it easy to add a new node *after* a given node?
- Exercise: Is it easy to add a new node *before* a given node?

## **16 Searching**

- $\text{Class } 4, 2/4/2021$
- Given *n* data elements (we will use integer data), arrange them in a data structure D so that these operations are fast:
	- **void** insert(**int** data, \*D)
	- **boolean** search(**int** data, D) (can also return entire data record)
- We don't care about the speed of deletion (for now).
- Much of this material is in Chapter 4 of the book (trees)
- Representation 1: Linked list
	- insert(i) is  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ : Place new element at the front.
	- search(i) is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ : We may need to look at whole list; we use pseudo-data  $i$  to make search as fast as possible
- Representation 2: Sorted linked list
	- insert(i) is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ : On average,  $n/2$  steps. Use pseudo-data (value  $\infty$ ) at end to make insertion as fast as possible.
	- search(i) is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ : We may need to look at whole list; on average, we look at  $n/2$  elements if the search succeeds; all n elements if it fails. Use pseudo-data (value  $\infty$ ) to make search as fast as possible.
- Representation 3: Array
	- insert(i) is  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ : We place new element at the rear.
	- search(i) is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ : We may need to look at whole list; use pseudodata i at rear.
- Representation 4: Sorted array
	- insert(i) is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ : We need to search and then shove cells over.
	- search(i) is  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ : We use **binary search**.

```
1 // warning: it's easy to make off-by-one errors.
2 bool binarySearch(int target, int *array,
3 int lowIndex, int highIndex) {
4 // look for target in array[lowIndex..highIndex]
5 while (lowIndex < highIndex) { // at least 2 elements
6 int mid = (lowIndex + highIndex) / 2; // round down
7 if (array[mid] < target) lowIndex = mid + 1;
8 else highIndex = mid;
9 } // while at least 2 elements
10 return (array [lowIndex] == target);
11 } // binarySearch
```
# **17 Quadratic search: set mid based on discrepancy**

Also called **interpolation search**, **extrapolation search**, **dictionary search**.

```
1 bool quadraticSearch(int target, int *array,
2 int lowIndex, int highIndex) {
3 // look for target in array[lowIndex..highIndex]
4 while (lowIndex < highIndex) { // at least 2 elements
5 if (array[highIndex] == array[lowIndex]) {
6 highIndex = lowIndex;
7 break;
8 }
9 float percent = (0.0 + target - array[lowIndex])
10 / (array[highIndex] - array[lowIndex]);
11 int mid = int(percent * (highIndex-lowIndex)) + lowIndex;
12 if (mid == highIndex) {
13 mid = 1;14 }
_{15} if (array[mid] < target) {
16 lowIndex = mid + 1;
17 } else {
18 highIndex = mid;19 }
20 } // while at least 2 elements
r = \text{return}(\text{array}[\text{lowIndex}] == \text{target});
22 } // quadraticSearch
```
Experimental results

- It is hard to program correctly.
- For  $10^6 \approx 2^{20}$  elements, binary search always makes 20 probes.
- This result is consistent with  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ .
- Quadratic search: 20 tests with uniform data. The range of probes was 3 – 17; the average about 9 probes.
- Analysis shows that if the data are uniformly distributed, quadratic search should be  $\mathcal{O}(\log \log n)$ .

#### <span id="page-16-0"></span>**18 Analyzing binary search**

- Binary search:  $c_n = 1 + c_{n/2}$  where  $c_n$  is the number of steps to search for an element in an array of length  $n$ .
- We will use the **Recursion Theorem**: if  $c_n = f(n) + ac_{n/b}$ , where  $f(n) = \Theta(n^k)$ , then

$$
\begin{array}{c|c}\n\text{when} & c_n \\
\hline\na < b^k & \Theta(n^k) \\
a = b^k & \Theta(n^k \log n) \\
a > b^k & \Theta(n^{\log_b a})\n\end{array}
$$

- In our case,  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 2$ ,  $k = 0$ , so  $b^k = 1$ , so  $a = b^k$ , so  $c_n = 1$  $\Theta(n^k \log n) = \Theta(\log n).$
- Bad news: any comparison-based searching algorithm is  $\Omega(\log n)$ , that is, needs at least on the order of  $log n$  steps.
- Notation, slightly more formally defined. All these ignore multiplicative constants.
	- $\mathcal{O}(f(n))$ : no worse than  $f(n)$ ; at most  $f(n)$ .
	- $\Omega(f(n))$ : no better than  $f(n)$ ; at least  $f(n)$ .
	- $\Theta(f(n))$ : no better or worse than  $f(n)$ ; exactly  $f(n)$ .

#### **19 Representation 5: Binary tree**

- $\boxed{\text{Class } 5, 2/9/2021}$
- Example with elicited values
- Pseudo-data: in the universal "null" node.
- insert(i) and search(i) are both  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  if we are lucky or data are random.

```
1 #define NULL 0
2 #include <stdlib.h>
3
4 typedef struct treeNode_s {
5 int data;
    treeNode_s *left, *right;
7 } treeNode;
8
9 treeNode *makeNode(int data) {
10 treeNode *answer = (treeNode *) malloc(sizeof(treeNode));
11 answer->data = data;
12 answer->left = answer->right = NULL;
13 return answer;
14 } // makeNode
15
16 treeNode *searchTree(treeNode *tree, int key) {
17 if (tree == NULL) return(NULL);
18 else if (tree->data == key) return(tree);
19 else if (key <= tree->data)
20 return(searchTree(tree->left, key));
21 else
22 return(searchTree(tree->right, key));
23 } // searchTree
24
25 void insertTree(treeNode *tree, int key) {
26 // assumes empty tree is a pseudo-node with infinite data
27 treeNode *parent = NULL;
28 treeNode *newNode = makeNode(key);
29 while (tree != NULL) { // dive down tree
30 parent = tree;
31 tree = (key <= tree->data) ? tree->left : tree->right;
32 } // dive down tree
33 if (key \leq parent->data)
34 parent->left = newNode;
35 else
36 parent->right = newNode;
37 } // insertTree
```
• We will deal with balancing trees later.

# **20 Traversals**

- A **traversal** walks through the tree, visiting every node.
- **Symmetric traversal** (also called **inorder**)

```
1 void symmetric(treeNode *tree) {
\mathbf{i} \mathbf{f} (tree == NULL) { // do nothing
3 } else {
4 symmetric(tree->left);
5 visit(tree);
6 symmetric(tree->right);
7 }
8 } // symmetric()
```
#### • **Pre-order traversal**

```
1 void preorder(treeNode *tree) {
\mathbf{i} if (tree == NULL) { \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\mathbf{r}} do nothing
3 } else {
4 visit(tree);
5 preorder(tree->left);
6 preorder(tree->right);
7 }
8 } // preorder()
```
#### • **Post-order traversal**

```
1 void postorder(treeNode *tree) {
\mathbf{i} if (tree == NULL) { \angle\angle do nothing
3 } else {
4 postorder(tree->left);
5 postorder(tree->right);
6 visit(tree);
7 }
8 } // postorder()
```
• Does pseudo-data make sense?

# **21 Representation 6: Hashing (scatter storage)**

• Hashing is often the best method for searching (but not for sorting).

- insert(data) and search(data) are  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ , but we can generally treat them as  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .
- We will discuss hashing later.

#### **22 Finding the** j**th largest element in a set**

• If  $j = 1$ , a single pass works in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  time:

```
1 largest = -\infty; // priming
2 foreach (value in set) {
\frac{1}{3} if (value > largest) largest = value;
4 }
5 return(largest);
```
• If  $j = 2$ , a single pass still works in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  time, but it is about twice as costly:

```
1 largest = nextLargest = -\infty; // priming
2 foreach (value in set) {
3 if (value > largest) {
4 nextLargest = largest;
5 largest = value;
6 } else if (value > nextLargest) {
7 nextLargest = value;
8 }
9 } // foreach value
10 return(nextLargest);
```
- It appears that for arbitrary j we need  $\mathcal{O}(jn)$  time, because each iteration needs t tests, where  $1 \le t \le j$ , followed by modifying  $j + 1 - t$ values, for a total cost of  $j + 1$ .
- $\text{Class } 6, 2/11/2021$
- Clever algorithm using an array: **QuickSelect** (Tony Hoare)
	- Partition the array into "small" and "large" elements with a pivot between them (details soon).
	- Recurse in either the small or large subarray, depending where the *j*th element falls. Stop if the *j*th element is the pivot.
- Cost:  $n + n/2 + n/4 + ... = 2n = \mathcal{O}(n)$
- We can also compute the cost using the recursion theorem (page [17\)](#page-16-0):
	- $c_n = n + c_{n/2}$  (if we are lucky)
	- $c_n = n + c_{2n/3}$  (fairly average case)
	- $f(n) = n = \mathcal{O}(n^1)$
	- $k = 1, a = 1, b = 2$  (or  $b = 3/2$ )
	- $\bullet \ \ a < b^k$
	- so  $c_n = \Theta(n^k) = \Theta(n)$

## **23 Partitioning an array**

- Nico Lomuto's method
- Online demonstration.
- The method partitions array [lowIndex .. highIndex] into three pieces:
	- array[lowIndex .. divideIndex -1]
	- array[divideIndex]
	- array[divideIndex + 1 .. highIndex]

The elements of each piece are in order with respect to adjacent pieces.

```
1 int partition(int array[], int lowIndex, int highIndex) {
2 // modifies array, returns pivot index.
3 int pivotValue = array[lowIndex];
4 int divideIndex = lowIndex;
5 for (int combIndex = lowIndex+1; combIndex <= highIndex;
6 combIndex += 1) {
7 // array[lowIndex] is the partitioning (pivot) value.
8 // array[lowIndex+1 .. divideIndex] are < pivot
9 // array[divideIndex+1 .. combIndex-1] are \geq pivot
10 // array[combIndex .. highIndex] are unseen
11 if (array[combIndex] < pivotValue) { // see a small value
12 divideIndex += 1;13 swap(array, divideIndex, combIndex);
14 }
15 } // each combIndex
16 // swap pivotValue into its place
17 swap(array, divideIndex, lowIndex);
18 return(divideIndex);
19 } // partition
```
• Example

#### CS315 Spring 2021 23



# **24 Using partitioning to select** j**th smallest**

```
1 int selectJthSmallest (int array[], int size, int targetIndex) {
2 // rearrange the values in array[0..size-1] so that
3 // array[targetIndex] has the value it would have if the array
4 // were sorted.
\frac{1}{5} int lowIndex = 0;
    int highIndex = size-1;
7 while (lowIndex < highIndex) {
       int midIndex = partition(array, lowIndex, highIndex);
       if (midIndex == targetIndex) {
10 return array[targetIndex];
11 } else if (midIndex < targetIndex) { // look to right
12 lowIndex = midIndex + 1;
13 } else { // look to left
_{14} highIndex = midIndex - 1;
15  }
16 } // while lowIndex < highIndex
17 return array[targetIndex];
18 } // selectJthSmallest
```
# **25 Sorting**

- $\text{Class } 7, 2/16/2021$
- We usually are interested in sorting an array **in place**.
- Sorting is  $\Omega(n \log n)$ .
- Good methods are  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ .
- Bad methods are  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ .

# **26 Sorting out sorting**

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HnQMDkUFzh4> Original film.
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kPRA0W1kECg> 15 methods in 6 minutes.

# **27 Insertion sort**

• Comb method:



- $\bullet$  *n* iterations.
- $\bullet\,$  Iteration  $i$  may need to shift the probe value  $i$  places.
- $\bullet \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n^2).$
- Experimental results for Insertion Sort: compares + moves  $\approx n$ .



```
1 void insertionSort(int array[], int length) {
2 // array goes from 1..length.
3 // location 0 is available for pseudo-data.
4 int combIndex, combValue, sortedIndex;
\mathbf{for} (combIndex = 2; combIndex \leq length; combIndex += 1) {
6 // array[1 .. combIndex-1] is sorted.
7 // Place array[combIndex] in order.
\sum_{\text{8}} combValue = array[combIndex];
9 sortedIndex = combIndex - 1;
10 array[0] = combValue - 1; // pseudo-data
11 while (combValue < array[sortedIndex]) {
12 array[sortedIndex+1] = array[sortedIndex];
13 sortedIndex - = 1;
14 }
15 array[sortedIndex+1] = combValue;
16 } // for combIndex
17 } // insertionSort
```
• *Stable*: multiple copies of the same key stay in order.

### **28 Selection sort**



- $\bullet$  *n* iterations.
- Iteration *i* may need to search through  $n i$  places.
- $\bullet \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n^2).$
- Experimental results for Selection Sort: compares + moves  $\approx n$ .

```
n compares moves
                    n^2/2100 4950 198 5000
  200 19900 398 20000
  400 79800 798 80000
1 void selectionSort(int array[], int length) {
2 // array goes from 0..length-1
3 int combIndex, smallestValue, bestIndex, probeIndex;
4 for (combIndex = 0; combIndex < length; combIndex += 1) {
5 // array[0 .. combIndex-1] has lowest elements, sorted.
6 // Find smallest other element to place at combIndex.
7 smallestValue = array[combIndex];
8 bestIndex = combIndex;
9 for (probeIndex = combIndex+1; probeIndex < length;
10 probeIndex += 1) {
11 if (array[probeIndex] < smallestValue) {
12 smallestValue = array[probeIndex];
13 bestIndex = probeIndex;
14 }
15 }
16 swap(array, combIndex, bestIndex);
17 } // for combIndex
18 } // selectionSort
```
• Not *stable*, because the swap moves an arbitrary value into the unsorted area.

#### **29 Quicksort (C. A. R. Hoare)**

- $\text{Class } 8, 2/18/2021$
- Recursive based on partitioning:



- about  $log n$  depth.
- each depth takes about  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  work.
- $\bullet \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n \log n).$
- Can be unlucky:  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ .
- To prevent worst-case behavior, partition based on median of 3 or 5.
- Don't Quicksort small regions; use a final insertionSort pass instead. Experiments how that the optimal break point depends on the implementation, but somewhere between 10 and 100 is usually good.
- Experimental results for QuickSort: compares + moves  $\approx 2.4 n \log n$ .



- Analysis if lucky:  $C_n = n + 2C_{n/2}$ , so  $k = 1, a = 2, b = 2$ , so  $a = b^k$ , so  $C_n = \Theta(n^k \log n) = \Theta(n \log n).$
- Analysis if unlucky:  $C_n = n + C_{n/3} + C_{2n/3} < n + 2C_{2n/3}$ , so  $k = 1, a =$  $2,b\,=\,3/2$ , so  $a\,>\,b^k$ , so  $C_n\,<\,\Theta(n^{log_b a})\,=\,\Theta(n^{log_{3/2}2})\,\approx\,\Theta(n^{1.70951})$ , which is still better than quadratic.

```
1 void quickSort(int array[], int lowIndex, int highIndex){
    2 if (highIndex - lowIndex <= 0) return;
3 // could stop if <= 6 and finish by using insertion sort.
4 int midIndex = partition(array, lowIndex, highIndex);
5 quickSort(array, lowIndex, midIndex-1);
    quickSort(array, midIndex+1, highIndex);
7 } // quickSort
```
#### **30 Shell Sort (Donald Shell, 1959)**

• Each pass has a **span** s.

```
1 for (int span in reverse(spanSequence)) {
\mathbf{for} (int offset = 0; offset < span; offset += 1) {
3 insertionSort(a[offset], a[offset+span], ... )
4 } // each offset
5 } // each span
```
- The last element in spanSequence must be 1.
- Tokuda's sequence:  $s_0 = 1$ ;  $s_k = 2.25s_{k-1} + 1$ ;  $span_k = [s_k] = 1, 4, 9$ , 20, 46, 103, 233, 525, 1182, 2660, ...
- Experimental results for Shell Sort: compares + moves  $\approx 2.2n \log n$ .



#### **31 Heaps: a kind of tree**

- $\text{Class } 9, 2/25/2021$
- Heap property: the value at a node is  $\leq$  the value of each child (for a **top-light heap**) or  $\geq$  the value of each child (for a **top-heavy heap**).
- The smallest (largest) value is therefore at the root.
- All leaves are at the same level  $\pm 1$ .
- To insert
	- Place new value at "end" of tree.
	- Let the new value sift up to its proper level.
- To delete: always delete the least (root) element
	- Save value at root to return it later.
	- Move the last value to the root.
	- Let the new value sift down to its proper level.
- Storage
	- Store the tree in an array  $[1 \dots]$
	- leftChild[index] = 2\*index
	- rightChild[index] =  $2 * index + 1$
	- the last occupied place in the array is at index heapSize.
- Applications
	- Sorting
	- Priority queue

```
1 // basic algorithms (top-light heap)
2
3 void siftUp (int heap[], int subjectIndex) {
    // the element in subjectIndex needs to be sifted up.
5 heap[0] = heap[subjectIndex]; // pseudo-data
    while (1) { // compare with parentValue.
7 int parentIndex = subjectIndex / 2;
8 if (heap[parentIndex] <= heap[subjectIndex]) return;
9 swap(heap, subjectIndex, parentIndex);
10 subjectIndex = parentIndex;
\begin{matrix} 11 & 1 \end{matrix}12 } // siftUp
13
14 int betterChild (int heap[], int subjectIndex, int heapSize) {
15 int answerIndex = subjectIndex * 2; // assume better child
16 if (answerIndex+1 <= heapSize &&
17 heap[answerIndex+1] < heap[answerIndex]) {
18 answerIndex += 1;19 }
20 return(answerIndex);
21 } // betterChild
2223 void siftDown (int heap[], int subjectIndex, int heapSize) {
24 // the element in subjectIndex needs to be sifted down.
25 while (2 \times subjectIndex \leq heapSize) {
26 int childIndex = betterChild(heap, subjectIndex, heapSize);
27 if (heap[childIndex] >= heap[subjectIndex]) return;
28 swap(heap, subjectIndex, childIndex);
29 subjectIndex = childIndex;
30 }
31 } // siftUp
```

```
1 // intermediate algorithms
2
3 void insertInHeap (int heap[], int *heapSize, int value) {
    *heapSize += 1; // should check for overflow
5 heap[*heapSize] = value;
    siftUp(heap, *heapSize);
7 } // insertInHeap
8
9 int deleteFromHeap (int heap[], int *heapSize) {
10 int answer = heap[1];
11 heap[1] = heap[*heapSize];
heapSize -= 1;
13 siftDown(heap, 1, *heapSize);
14 return(answer);
15 } // deleteFromHeap
1 // advanced algorithm
\overline{2}3 void heapSort(int array[], int arraySize){
    4 // sorts array[1..arraySize] by first making it a
5 // top-heavy heap, then by successive deletion.
    // Deleted elements go to the end.
7 int index, size;
    array[0] = -\infty; // pseudo-data
9 // The second half of array[] satisfies the heap property.
10 for (index = (arraySize+1)/2; index > 0; index - = 1) {
11 siftDown(array, index, arraySize);
12   }
13 for (index = arraySize; index > 0; index - = 1) {
14 array[index] = deleteFromHeap(array, &arraySize);
15 }
16 } // heapSort
```
- This method of heapifying is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ :
	- 1/2 the elements require no motion.
	- 1/4 the elements may sift down 1 level.
	- 1/8 the elements may sift down 2 levels.
	- Total motion =  $(n/2) \cdot \sum_{1 \leq j} j/2^j$
- That formula approaches *n* as  $j \to \infty$
- Total complexity is therefore  $\mathcal{O}(n + n \log n) = \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ .
- This sorting method is not *stable*, because sifting does not preserve order.

Experimental results for Heap Sort: compares + moves  $\approx 3.1n \log n$ .

$\, n$	compares	moves	$n \log n$	$n^2/2$
100	755	1190	664	5000
200	1799	2756	1528	20000
400	4180	6196	3457	80000
800	9621	14050	7715	320000
1600	21569	31214	17030	1280000

#### **32 Bin sort**

- Assumptions: values lie in a small range; there are no duplicates.
- Storage: build an array of bins, one for each possible value. Each is 1 bit long.
- Space:  $\mathcal{O}(r)$ , where r is the size of the range.
- Place each value to sort as a 1 in its bin. Time:  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .
- Read off bins in order, reporting index if it is 1. Time:  $\mathcal{O}(r)$ .
- Total time:  $\mathcal{O}(n+r)$ .
- Total memory:  $\mathcal{O}(r)$ , which can be expensive.
- Can handle duplicates by storing a count in each bin, at a further expense of memory.
- This sorting method does not work for arbitrary data having numeric keys; it only sorts the keys, not the data.

#### **33 Radix sort**

- Example: use base 10, with values integers 0 9999, with 10 bins, each holding a list of values, initially empty.
- Pass 1: insert each value in a bin (at rear of its list) based on the last digit of the value.
- Pass 2: examine values in bin order, and in list order within bins, placing them in a new copy of bins based on the second-to-last digit.
- Pass 3, 4: similar.
- The number of digits is  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ , so there are  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  passes, each of which takes  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  time, so the algorithm is  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ .
- This sorting method is *stable*.

### **34 Merge sort**

```
1 void mergeSort(int array[], int lowIndex, int highIndex){
2 // sort array[lowIndex] .. array[highIndex]
    3 if (highIndex - lowIndex < 1) return; // width 0 or 1
4 int mid = (lowIndex+highIndex)/2;
5 mergeSort(array, lowIndex, mid);
    mergeSort(array, mid+1, highIndex);
    merge(array, lowIndex, highIndex);
8 } // mergeSort
9
10 void merge(int array[], int lowIndex, int highIndex) {
11 int mid = (lowIndex+highIndex)/2;
12 // copy the relevant parts of array to two temporaries
13 // walk through the temporaries in tandem,
14 // placing smaller in array, ties honor left version.
15 } // merge
```
- $c_n = n + 2c_{n/2}$
- $a = 2$ ,  $b = 2$ ,  $k = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ .
- This time complexity is **guaranteed**.
- Space needed:  $2n$ , because merge in place is awkward (and expensive).
- The sort is also *stable*: it preserves the order of identical keys.
- Insertion, radix, and merge sort are stable, but not selection, Quicksort or Heapsort.



Experimental results for Merge Sort: compares + moves  $\approx 2.9n \log n$ .

#### **35 Red-black trees (Guibas and Sedgewick 1978)**

- Class 10,  $3/2/2021$
- Red-black trees balance themselves during online insertion.
- Their representation requires pointers both to children and to the parent.
- Each node is **red** or **black**.
- The pseudo-nodes (or null nodes) at bottom are black.
- The root node is black.
- Red nodes have only black children. So no path has two red nodes in a row.
- All paths from the root to a leaf have the same number of black nodes.
- For a node x, define black-height(x) = number of black nodes on a path down from x, not counting x.
- The algorithm manages to keep height of the tree  $\leq 2 \log(n + 1)$ .
- To keep the tree acceptable, we sometimes **rotate**, which reorganizes the tree locally without changing the symmetric traversal.



- To insert
	- place new node  $\boxed{n}$  in the tree and color it red.  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ .
- walk up the tree from  $\lceil n \rceil$ , rotating as needed to restore color rules.  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ .
- color the root black.

**case 1: parent and uncle red**



**Circled: black; otherwise: red**

**Star: continue up the tree here g\***





<sup>•</sup> try with values 1..6:


• try with these values:  $5, 2, 7, 4$  (case 1),  $3$  (case 2),  $1$  (case 1)

#### **36 Review of binary trees**

- Binary trees have expected  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  depth, but they can have  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ depth.
- insertion
- traversal: preorder, postorder, inorder=symmetric order.
- deletion of node D
	- If D is a leaf, remove it.
	- If D has one child C, move C in place of D.
	- If D has two children, find its successor:  $S = RL^*$ . Move S in place of D. S has no left child, but if it has a right child C, move C in place of S.

#### **37 Ternary trees**

• Class 11, 3/4/2021

- By example.
- The depth of a balanced ternary tree is  $\log_3 n$ , which is only 63% the depth of a balanced binary tree.
- The number of comparisons needed to traverse an internal node during a search is either 1 or 2; average 5/3.
- So the number of comparisons to reach a leaf is  $\frac{5}{3} \log_3 n$  instead of (for a binary tree)  $\log_2 n$ , a ratio of 1.05, indicating a 5% degradation.
- The situation gets only worse for larger arity. For quaternary trees, the degradation (in comparison to binary trees) is about 12.5%.
- And, of course, an online construction is not balanced.
- Moral: binary is best; higher arity is not helpful.

#### **38 Quad trees (Finkel 1973)**

- Extension of sorted binary trees to two dimensions.
- Internal nodes contain a **discriminant**, which is a two-dimensional  $(x,y)$  value.
- Internal nodes have four children, corresponding to the four quadrants from the discriminant.
- Leaf nodes contain a **bucket** of *b* values.
- Insertion
	- Dive down the tree, put new value in its bucket.
	- If the bucket overflows, pick a good discriminant and subdivide.
	- Good discriminant: one that separates the values as evenly as possible. Suggestion: median (x, y) values.
- Offline algorithm to build a balanced tree
	- Put all elements in a single bucket, then recursively subdivide as above.
- Generalization: for  $d$ -dimensional data, let each discriminant have  $d$ values. A node can have up to  $2^d$  children. This number becomes cumbersome when  $d$  grows above about 3.

• Heavily used in 3-d modeling for graphics, often with discriminant chosen as midpoint, not median.

## **39 k-d trees (Bentley and Finkel 1973)**

- Extension of sorted binary trees to  $d$  dimensions.
- Especially good when  $d$  is high.
- Internal nodes contain a **dimension** number (0 .. d − 1) and a **discriminant** value (real).
- Internal nodes have two children, corresponding to values  $\leq$  and  $>$ the discriminant in the given dimension.
- Leaf nodes contain a **bucket** of *b* values.
- Offline construction and online insertion are similar to quad trees.
	- To split a bucket of values, pick the dimension number with the largest range across those values.
	- Given the dimension, pick the median of the values in that dimension as the discriminant.
	- That choice of dimension number tends to make the domain of each bucket roughly cubical; that choice of discriminant balances the tree.
- Nearest-neighbor search: Given a d-dimensional probe value  $p$ , to find the nearest neighbor to  $p$  that is in the tree.
	- Dive into the tree until you find  $p'$ s bucket.
	- Find the closest value in the bucket to  $p$ . Cost:  $b$  distance measures. Result: a **ball** around p.
	- Walking back up to the root, starting at the bucket:
		- If the domain of the other child of the node overlaps the ball, dive into that child.
		- If the ball is entirely contained within the node's domain, done.
		- Otherwise walk one step up toward the root and continue.
	- complexity: Initial dive is  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ , but the expected number of buckets examined is  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .

• Used for cluster analysis, categorizing (as in optical character recognition).

## **40 2-3 trees (John Hopcroft, 1970)**

- Class 12,  $3/9/2021$
- By example.
- Like a ternary tree, but different rule of insertion
- Always completely balanced
- A node may hold 1, 2, or 3 (temporarily) values.
- A node may have 0 (only leaves), 2, 3, or 4 (temporarily) children.
- A node that has 3 values splits and promotes its middle value to its parent (recursively up the tree).
- If the root splits, it promotes a new root.
- Complexity:  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$  for insertion and search, guaranteed.
- Deletion: unpleasant.

# **41 Stooge Sort**

• A terrible method, but fun to analyze.

```
•
1 #include <math.h>
2
3 void stoogeSort(int array[], int lowIndex, int highIndex){
    // highIndex is one past the end
5 int size = highIndex - lowIndex;
    if (size \leq 1) { // nothing to do
7 \qquad else if (size == 2) { // direct sort
       8 if (array[lowIndex] > array[lowIndex+1]) {
          swap(array, lowIndex, lowIndex+1);
10 }
11 } else { // general case
12 float third = ((float) size) / 3.0;
13 stoogeSort(array, lowIndex, ceil(highIndex - third));
14 stoogeSort(array, floor(lowIndex + third), highIndex);
15 stoogeSort(array, lowIndex, ceil(highIndex - third));
16 }
17 } // stoogeSort
```
- $c_n = 1 + 3c_{2n/3}$
- $a = 3$ ,  $b = 3/2$ ,  $k = 0$ , so  $b^k = 1$ . By the recursion theorem (page [18\)](#page-16-0), since  $a > b^k$ , we have complexity  $\Theta(n^{\log_b a}) = \Theta(n^{\log_{3/2} 3}) \approx \Theta(n^{2.71})$ , so Stooge Sort is worse than quadratic.
- However, the recursion often encounters already-sorted sub-arrays. If we add a check for that situation, Stooge Sort becomes roughly quadratic.

## **42 B trees (Ed McCreight 1972)**

- A generalization of 2-3 trees when McCreight was at Boeing, hence the name.
- Choose a number m (the **bucket size**) such that m values plus m disk indices fit in a single disk block. For instance, if a block is 4KB, a value takes 4B, and an index takes 4B, then  $m = 4KB/8B = 512$ .
- $m = 3 \Rightarrow 2-3$  tree.
- Class 13, 3/11/2021
- Each node has  $1 \ldots m-1$  values and  $0 \ldots m$  children. (We have room for  $m$  values; the extra can be used for pseudo-data.)
- Shorthand:  $g = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$  (the **half size**)
- Internal nodes (other than the root) have  $q \ldots m$  children.
- Insertion
	- Insert in appropriate leaf.
	- If current node overflows (has  $m$  values) split it into two nodes of  $q$  values each; hoist the middle value up one level.
	- When a node splits, its parent's pointer to it becomes two pointers to the new nodes.
	- When a value is hoisted, iterate up the tree checking for overflow.
- B+ tree variant: link leaf nodes together for quicker inorder traversal. This link also allows us to avoid splitting a leaf if its neighbor is not at capacity.
- A densely filled tree with *n* keys (values), height *h*:
	- Number of nodes  $a = 1 + m + m^2 + \cdots + m^h = \frac{m^{h+1}-1}{m-1}$  $\frac{m+1}{m-1}$ .
	- Number of keys  $n = (m-1)a = m^{h+1} 1 \Rightarrow log_m(n+1) =$  $h + 1 \Rightarrow h$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ .
- A sparsely filled tree with *n* keys (values), height *h*:
	- The root has two subtrees; the others have  $q = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$  subtrees, so:
	- Number of nodes  $a = 1 + 2(1 + g + g^2 + \cdots + g^{h-1}) = 1 + \frac{2(g^{h}-1)}{g-1}$  $\frac{g^{n}-1)}{g-1}$ .
	- The root has 1 key, the others have  $g 1$  keys, so:
	- Number of keys  $n = 1 + 2(g<sup>h</sup> 1) = 2g<sup>h</sup> 1 \Rightarrow h = \log_g(n+1)/2 =$  $\mathcal{O}(\log n).$

#### **43 Deletion from a B tree**

- Deletion from an internal node: replace value with successor (taken from a leaf), and then proceed to deletion from a leaf.
- Deletion from a leaf: the bad case is that it can cause **underflow**: the leaf now has fewer than  $g$  keys.
- In case of underflow, borrow a value from a neighbor if possible, adjusting the appropriate key in the parent.
- If all neighbors (there are 1 or 2) are already minimal, grab a key from the parent and also **merge** with a neighbor.
- In general, deletion is quite difficult.

# **44 Hashing**

- Very popular data structure for searching.
- Cost of insertion and of search is  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ , but only because n distinct values must be  $log n$  bits long, and we need to look at the entire key. If we consider looking at a key to be  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ , then hashing is expected (but not guaranteed) to be  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .
- Idea: find the value associated with key k at  $A[h(k)]$ , where
	- h() maps keys to integers in  $0..s-1$ , where s is the size of A[ ].
	- $h()$  is "fast". (It generally needs to look at all of k, though.)
- Example
	- $k =$  student in class.
	- $h(k) = k$ 's birthday (a value from 0.. 365).
- Difficulty: collisions
	- Birthday paradox: Prob(no collisions with *j* people) =  $\frac{365!}{(365-j)!365^j}$
	- This probability goes below 1/2 at  $j = 23$ .
	- At  $j = 50$ , the probability is 0.029.
- Moral: One cannot in general avoid collisions. One has to deal with them.

# **45 Hashing: Dealing with collisions: open addressing**

- Overview
	- The following methods store all items in A[] and use a probe sequence. If the desired position is occupied, use some other position to consider instead.
- These methods suffer from clustering.
- Deletion is hard, because removing an element can damage unrelated searches. Deletion by **marking** is the only reasonable approach.
- Perfect hashing: if you know all  $n$  values in advance, you can look for a non-colliding hash function h. Finding such a function is in general quite difficult, but compiler writers do sometimes use perfect hashing to detect keywords in the language (like **if** and **for**).
- Linear probing. Probe p is at index  $h(k) + p \pmod{s}$ , for  $p = 0, 1, \ldots$ 
	- Terrible behavior when A[] is almost full, because chains coalesce. This problem is called "primary clustering".
- Additional hash functions. Use a family of hash functions,  $h_1(), h_2(), \ldots$ 
	- insertion: key probing with different functions until an empty slot is found.
	- searching: probe with different functions until you find the key (success) or an empty slot (failure).
	- You need a **family** of independent hash functions.
	- The method is very expensive when A[] is almost full.

#### **46 Review for midterm**

Class 14, 3/16/2021

Insert the following items:  $3<sub>1</sub> 1<sub>1</sub> 4 1<sub>2</sub> 5<sub>1</sub> 9 2 6 5<sub>2</sub> 3<sub>2</sub>$  into:

- binary tree. Preorder result:  $3_1 1_1 1 2 2 3_2 5_1 5_2 9 6$
- top-light heap. Breadth-order result:  $1_1 1_2 2 3_1 3_2 9 4 6 5_2 5_1$
- array, then heapify. Breadth-order result:  $1_1 1_2 2 3_1 3_2 9 4 6 5_2 5_1$
- ternary tree. Preorder result:  $(1_1, 3) 1_2 (2, 3_2) (4, 5_1) 5_2 (6, 9)$
- array, then 5 steps of selection sort. Result:  $1_1 1_2 2 3_1 3_2 | 9 4 6 5_2 5_1$ Note: not stable.
- array, then 5 steps of insertion sort. Result:  $1_1$   $1_2$   $3_1$   $4$   $5_1$  |  $9$   $2$   $6$   $5_2$   $3_2$ Note: stable. Can force anti-stable.
- array, then first step of Quicksort, using Lomuto's partitioning. final insertionSort.
- 2-3 tree. Preorder result:  $3 1 1 (2, 3) 5 (4, 5) (6, 9)$
- red-black tree. Preorder result:  $3<sub>b</sub> 1 1<sub>b</sub> 2<sub>b</sub> 3 5 4<sub>b</sub> 5 9<sub>b</sub> 6$

#### **47 Midterm exam**

Class 15, 3/18/2021

#### **48 Midterm exam follow-up**

Class 16, 3/23/2021

## **49 Hashing: more open-addressing methods**

- $\text{Class } 17, 3/25/2021$
- Quadratic probing. Probe p is at index  $h(k) + p^2$  (mod s), for  $p =$  $0, 1, \ldots$ 
	- When does this sequence hit all of A[]? Certainly it does if  $s$  is prime.
	- We still suffer "secondary clustering": if two keys have the same hash value, then the sequence of probes is the same for both.
- Add-the-hash rehash. Probe *p* is at index  $(p+1) \cdot h(k)$  (mod *s*).
	- This method avoids clustering.
	- Warning:  $h(k)$  must never be 0.
- Double hashing. Use two has functions,  $h_1()$  and  $h_2()$ . Probe p is at index  $h_1(k) + p \cdot h_2(k)$ .
	- This method avoids clustering.
	- Warning:  $h_2(k)$  must never be 0.

# **50 Hashing: Dealing with collisions: external chaining**

- Each element in A is a pointer, initially null, to a **bucket**, which is a linked list of nodes that hash to that element; each node contains  $k$ and any other associated data.
- insert: place k at the front of  $A[h(k)]$ .
- search: look through the list at  $A[h(k)]$ .
	- optimization: When you find, promote the node to the start of its list.
- average list length is  $s/n$ . So if we set  $s \cong n$  we expect about 1 element per list, although some may be longer, some empty.
- Instead of lists, we can use something fancier (such as 2-3 trees), but it is generally better to use a larger s.

## **51 Hashing: What is a good hash function?**

- Want it to be
	- Uniform: Equally likely to give any value in  $0..s 1$ .
	- Fast.
	- Spreading: similar inputs  $\rightarrow$  dissimilar outputs, to prevent clustering. (Only important for open addressing, as described below.)
- Several suggestions, assuming that  $k$  is a multi-word data structure, such as a string.
	- Add (or multiply) all (or some of) the words of  $k$ , discarding overflow, then mod by s. It helps if  $s = 2<sup>j</sup>$ , because mod is then masking with  $2^j - 1$ .
	- XOR the words of  $k$ , shifting left by 1 after each, followed by mod s.
- Wisdom: The hash function doesn't make much difference. It is not necessary to look at all of k. Just make sure that  $h(k)$  is not constant (except for testing collision resolution).

#### **52 Hashing: How big should the array be?**

- Some open-addressing methods prefer that  $s = ||Array||$  be prime.
- Computing  $h()$  is faster if  $s = 2<sup>j</sup>$  for some j.
- Open addressing gets very bad if  $s < 2n$ , depending on method. Linear probing is the worst; I would make sure  $s \geq 3n$ .
- External chaining works fine even when  $s \cong n$ , but it gets steadily worse.

# **53 Hashing: What should we do if we discover that** s **is too small?**

- We can rebuild with a bigger  $s$ , rehashing every element. But that operation causes a temporary "outage", so it is not acceptable for online work.
- Extendible hashing
	- Start with one bucket. If it gets too full (list longer than 10, say), split it on the *last bit* of  $h(k)$  into two buckets.
	- Whenever a bucket based on the last  $j$  bits is too full, split it based on bit  $j + 1$  from the end.
	- To find the bucket
		- compute  $v = h(k)$ .
		- follow a tree that discriminates on the last bits of  $v$ . This tree is called a **trie**.
		- it takes at most  $log\ v$  steps to find the right bucket.
		- Searching within the bucket now is guaranteed to take constant time (ignoring the  $log n$  cost of comparing keys)

# **54 Hash tables (associative arrays) in scripting languages**

- Class 18, 3/30/2021
- Like an array, but the indices are strings.
- Resizing the array is automatic, although one might specify the expected size in advance to avoid resizing during early growth.
- Perl has a built-in datatype called a **hash**.

```
1 my %foo;
12 \qquad \text{foo} \{ \text{"this"} \} = \text{"that"}.
```
• Python has **dictionaries**.

```
\Gamma Foo = dict()
P<sub>2</sub> Foo['this'] = 'that';
```
• JavaScript arrays are all associative.

```
1 const foo = [];
12 \qquad \text{foo}['this'] = 'that';3 foo.this = 'that';
```
## **55 Cryptographic hashes: digests**

- purpose: uniquely identify text of any length.
- these hashes are *not* used for searching.
- goals
	- fast computation
	- uninvertable: given  $h(k)$ , it should be infeasible to compute k.
	- it should be infeasible to find collisions  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  such that  $h(k_1) =$  $h(k_2)$ .
- examples
	- MD5: 128 bits. Practical attack in 2008.
	- SHA-1: 160 bits, but (2005) one can find collisions in  $2^{69}$  hash operations (brute force would use  $2^{80}$ )
	- SHA-2: usual variant is SHA256; also SHA-512.
- uses
- storing passwords (used as a trap-door function)
- catching plagiarism
- for authentication  $(h(m + s)$  authenticates m to someone who shares the secret s, for example)
- tripwire: intrusion detection

#### <span id="page-48-0"></span>**56 Graphs**

• Our standard graph:



- Nomenclature
	- **vertices**: V is the name of the set, v is the size of the set. In our example,  $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}.$
	- **edges**: E is the name of the set, e is the size of the set. In our example,  $E = \{e1, e2, e3, e4, e5, e6, e7\}.$
	- **directed graph**: edges have direction (represented by arrows).
	- **undirected graph**: edges have no direction.
	- **multigraph**: more than one edge between two vertices. We generally do not deal with multigraphs, and the word **graph** generally disallows them.
	- **weighted graph**: each edge has numeric label called its **weight**.
- Graphs represent situations
	- streets in a city. We might be interested in computing **paths**.
	- airline routes, where the weight is the price of a flight. We might be interested in minimal-cost cycles.
		- Hamiltonian cycle: no duplicated vertices (cities).
		- Eulerian cycle: no duplicated edges (flights).
	- Islands and bridges, as in the bridges of Königsburg, later called Kaliningrad (Euler 1707-1783). This is a multigraph, not strictly



Can you find an Eulerian cycle?

- Family trees. These graphs are **bipartite**: Family nodes and person nodes. We might want to find the shortest path between two people.
- Cities and roadways, with weights indicating distance. We might want a minimal-cost spanning tree.

#### **57 Data structures representing a graph**

- Adjacency matrix
	- an array  $n \times n$  of Boolean.
	- A[ $i, j$ ] = true  $\Rightarrow$  there is an edge from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$ .



- The array is symmetric if the graph is undirected
	- in this case, we can store only one half of it, typically in a 1-dimensional array
	- A[ $i(i-1)/2 + j$ ] holds information about edge  $i, j$ .
- Instead of Boolean, we can use integer values to store edge weights.
- Adjacency list
	- an array  $n$  of singly-linked lists.
	- *j* is in linked list A[*i*] if there is an edge from vertex *i* to vertex  $\dot{j}$ .
		- $1 \nvert 2 \rightarrow 6$  $2 \mid 1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 7$  $3 \mid 2 \rightarrow 7$  $4 \mid 5$  $5 \mid 4$  $6 \mid 1 \rightarrow 7$  $7 \rvert 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 6$

# **58 Computing the degree of all vertices**

• Adjacency matrix:  $\mathcal{O}(v^2)$ .

```
1 foreach vertex (0 .. v-1) {
2 degree[vertex] = 0;
3 foreach neighbor in 0 .. v-1 {
4 if (A[vertex, neighbor]) degree[vertex] += 1;
5 }
6 }
• Adjacency list: \mathcal{O}(v+e).
1 foreach vertex (0 .. v-1) {
2 degree[vertex] = 0;
3 for (neighbor = A[vertex]; neighbor != null;
4 neighbor = neighbor->next) {
5 degree[vertex] += 1;6 }
7 }
```
# **59 Computing the connected component containing vertex** i **in an undirected graph**

- why: to segment an image.
- $\boxed{\text{Class } 19, 4/1/2021}$

• method: **depth-first search** (**DFS**).

```
1 void DFS(vertex here) {
2 / assume visited[\star] == false at start
3 visited[here] = true;
4 foreach next (successors(here)) {
5 if (! visited[next]) DFS(next);
6 }
7 } // DFS
```
- DFS is faster with adjacency list:  $\mathcal{O}(e' + v')$ , where  $e'$ , v' only count to the number of edges and vertices in the connected component.
- DFS is slower with adjacency matrix:  $\mathcal{O}(v + v')$ .
- For our standard graph (page [49\)](#page-48-0), assuming that the adjacency lists are all sorted by vertex number (or that we use the adjacency matrix), starting at vertex 1, we invoke DFS on these vertices: 1, 2, 3, 7, 6.
- DFS can be coded iteratively with an explicit stack

```
1 void DFS(vertex start) {
2 // assume visited[*] == false at start
3 workStack = makeEmptyStack();
4 pushStack(workStack, start)
5 while (! isEmptyStack(workStack)) {
6 place = popStack(workStack);
7 if (visited[place]) continue;
8 visited[place] = true;
9 foreach neighbor (successors(place)) {
10 if (! visited[neighbor]) {
11 pushStack(workStack, neighbor);
12 // could record "place" as parent
13 a and the Music of the Music o
14 } // foreach neighbor
15 } // while workStack not empty
```
## **60 To see if a graph is connected**

• See if DFS hits every vertex.

```
1 bool isConnected() {
2 foreach vertex (vertices)
3 visited[vertex] = false;
4 DFS(0); // or any vertex
5 foreach vertex (vertices)
6 if (! visited[vertex]) return false;
7 return true;
8 } // isConnected
```
## **61 Breadth-first search**

- applications
	- find shortest path in a family tree connecting two people
	- find shortest route through city streets
	- find fastest itinerary by plane between two cities
- method: place unfinished vertices in a queue. These are the ones we still need to visit, in order closest to furthest.

```
1 void BFS(vertex start) {
2 / assume visited[\star] == false at start
3 workQueue = makeQueue();
4 visited[start] = true;
5 insertInQueue(workQueue, start)
6 while (! emptyQueue(workQueue)) {
7 place = deleteFromQueue(workQueue); // from front
8 foreach neighbor (successors(place)) {
9 if (! visited[neighbor]) {
10 visited[neighbor] = true;
11 insertInQueue(workQueue, neighbor); // to rear
12 // or: insert (place, neighbor)
13 // to remember path to start
14 } // not visited
15 } // foreach neighbor
16 } // while queue not empty
17 } // BFS
```
- For our standard graph (page [49\)](#page-48-0), assuming that the adjacency lists are all sorted by vertex number (or that we use the adjacency matrix), starting at vertex 1, BFS visits these vertices: 1, 2, 6, 3, 7.
- using adjacency lists, BFS is  $\mathcal{O}(v' + e')$ .

## **62 Shortest path between vertices** i **and** j

- Compute BFS $(i)$ , but stop when you visit j.
	- Actually, you can stop when you place  $j$  in the queue.
	- Construct the path by building a back chain when you insert a vertex in the queue. That is, you insert a pair: (place, neighbor).
- If edges are weighted:
	- Use a heap (top-light) instead of a queue. That's why heaps are sometimes called **priority queues**.
	- stop when you visit  $j$ , not when you place  $j$  in the queue.
- Class 20, 4/6/2021

```
1 void weightedBFS(vertex start, vertex goal) {
     // assume visited[\star] == () at start
3 workHeap = makeHeap(); \frac{1}{2} top-light
4 insertInHeap(workHeap, (0, start, start));
5 // distance, vertex, from where
     while (! emptyHeap(workHeap)) {
7 (distance, place, from) = deleteFromHeap(workHeap);
8 if (visited[place] != ()) continue; // already seen
        visited(place) = (from, distance);10 if (place == goal) return; // could print path
11 foreach (neighbor, weight) in (successors(place)) {
12 insertInHeap(workHeap, (distance+weight, neighbor, place));
13 and 13 and 16 and 17 and 18 and 1
14 } // while queue not empty
15 } // BFS
```
# **63 Dijkstra's algorithm: Finding all shortest paths from a given vertex in a weighted graph**

The weights must be positive. Weiss  $\S$ 9.3.2

- Rule: among all vertices that can extend a shortest path already found, choose the one that results in a shortest path. If there is a tie ending at the same vertex, choose either. If there is a tie going to different vertices, choose both.
- This is an example of a **greedy algorithm**: at each step, improve the solution in the way that looks best at the moment.
- Starting position: one path, length 0, from start vertex  $j$  to  $j$ .



# **64 Topological sort**

- Sample application: course prerequisites place some pairs of courses in order, leading to a **directed, acyclic graph (DAG)**. We want to find a **total order**; there may be many acceptable answers.
- Weiss  $\S$ 9.2



#### **65 Spanning trees**

- $\text{Class } 21, 4/8/2021$
- | Weiss  $\S$ 9.5 |
- **Spanning tree**: Given a connected undirected graph, a cycle-free

connected subgraph containing all the original vertices.



- **Minimum-weight panning tree**: Given a connected undirected weighted graph, a spanning tree with least total weight.
- Example: minimum-cost set of roads (edges) connecting a set of cities (vertices).

## **66 Prim's algorithm**

```
1 Start with any vertex as the current tree.
2 do v − 1 times
3 connect the current tree to the closest external vertex
```
- This is a **greedy algorithm**: at each step, improve the solution in the way that looks best at the moment.
- Example: start with 5. We add:  $(5,6)$ ,  $(5,1)$ ,  $(1,3)$ ,  $(3, 4)$ ,  $(1, 2)$
- Implementation
	- Keep a top-light heap of all external vertices based on their distance to the current tree (and store to which tree vertex they connect at that distance).
	- Initially, all distances are  $\infty$  except for the neighbors of the starting vertex.
	- Repeatedly take the closest vertex  $f$  and add its edge to the current tree.
	- For all external neighbors b of f, perhaps f is a better way to connect  $b$  to the tree; if so, update  $b$ 's information in the heap. (Remove  $b$  and reinsert it with the better distance.)

• Complexity:  $\mathcal{O}(v \cdot \log v + e)$ , because for we add each vertex once, removing it from a heap that can have  $v$  elements; we need to consider each edge twice (once from each end).

## **67 Kruskal's algorithm**

```
1 Start with all vertices, no edges.
2 do v − 1 times
    add the lowest-cost missing edge that does not form a cycle
```
- This is a **greedy algorithm**: at each step, improve the solution in the way that looks best at the moment.
- We can stop when we have added  $v 1$  edges; all the rest will certainly introduce cycles.
- Data representation: List of edges, sorted by weight
- Complexity: assuming that keeping track of the component of each vertex is  $\mathcal{O}(\log^* v)$ , the complexity is  $\mathcal{O}(e \log e + v \log^* v)$ , because we must sort the edges and then add  $v - 1$  edges.

# **68 Cycle detection: Union-find**

- general idea
	- As edges are added, keep track of which connected componenent every vertex belongs to.
	- Any new edge connecting vertices already in the same component would form a cycle; avoid adding such edges.
- operations
	- Each vertex starts as a separate component.
	- union(b,c): assign b and c to the same component (for instance, when an edge is introduced between them).
	- find(b): tell which component b is in (if b and c are in the same component, don't add an edge connecting them).
- method for union $(b,c)$
- Every vertex has at most one **parent**, initially nil.
- Find the **representative** b' of b by following parent links until the end.
- Find the representative c' of c.
- If  $b' = c'$ , they are already in the same component. Done.
- Point either b' to c' or c' to b' by introducing a parent link between them.
- We want trees to be as shallow as possible. So record the height of each tree in its root. Point the shallower one at the deeper one.
- We can compress paths while searching for the representative. In this case, the height recorded in the root is just an estimate.
- We use this data structure in Kruskal's algorithm to avoid cycles:

```
1 typedef struct vertex_s {
2 int name; // need not be int
    struct vertex_s *representative; // NULL => me
4 int depth; // only if I represent my group; 0 initially
5 } vertex_t;
```

```
• Class 22, 4/13/2021
```
• More examples of Union-Find

# **69 Numerical algorithms**

- We will not look at algorithms for approximation to problems using real numbers; that is the subject of CS321.
- We will study integer algorithms.

# **70 Euclidean algorithm: greatest common divisor (GCD)**

• Examples:  $gcd(12,60)=12$ ,  $gcd(15,66)=3$ ,  $gcd(15,67)=1$ .

```
_1 int gcd(a, b) {
2 while (b != 0) {
3 (a, b) = (b, a \& b);4 }
5 return(a);
6 } // gcd
• Example: \begin{array}{c|cc} a & 12 & 60 & 12 \\ b & 60 & 12 & 0 \end{array}• Example: \begin{array}{c|cc} a & 15 & 66 & 15 & 6 & 3 \\ b & 66 & 15 & 6 & 3 & 0 \end{array}• Example: \begin{array}{c|cc} a & 15 & 67 & 15 & 7 & 1 \\ b & 67 & 15 & 7 & 1 & 0 \end{array}
```
# **71 Fast exponentiation**

- Many cryptographic algorithms require raising large integers (thousands of digits) to very large powers (hundreds of digits), modulo a large number (about 2K bits).
- to get  $a^{64}$  we only need six multiplications:  $(((a^2)^2)^2)^2)^2$
- to get  $a^5$  we need three multiplications:  $a^4 \cdot a = (a^2)^2 \cdot a$ .
- General rule to compute  $a^e$ : look at the binary representation of  $e$ , read it from left to right. The initial accumulator has value 1.
	- 0: square the accumulator
	- 1: square the accumulator and multiply by  $a$ .
- Example:  $a^{11}$ . In binary,  $11_{10}$  is expressed as  $1011_2$ . So we get  $((((1<sup>2</sup>)a)<sup>2</sup>)<sup>2</sup> · a)<sup>2</sup> · a$ , a total of 4 squares and 3 multiplications, or 7 operations. The first square is always  $1<sup>2</sup>$  and the first multiplication is  $1 \cdot a$ ; we can avoid those trivial operations.
- In cryptography, we often need to compute  $a^e \pmod{p}$ . Calculate this quantity by performing mod  $p$  after each multiplication.
- As we read the binary representation of  $e$  from left to right, we could start with the leading 0's without any harm.
- Example (run with the *bc* calculator program):  $243^{745}$  mod  $452$ .  $745_{10}$  = 10111010012.

```
1 a = 2432 m = 4523 r = 14 r = r^2 \times a \frac{1}{6} m5 r = r^2 2 % m
6 r = r^2 * a * mz r = r^2 * a % m
s r = r^2 \cdot 2 \cdot a % m
9 r = r^2 2 % m
10 r = r^2 \cdot 2 \cdot a \cdot s m
11 r = r^2 % m
12 r = r^2 2 % m
13 r = r^2 \cdot a \cdot s m
14 r
```
#### **72 Integer multiplication**

- Class 23,  $4/15/2021$
- The BigNum representation: linked list of pieces, each with, say, 2 bytes of unsigned integer, with least-significant piece first. (It makes no difference whether we store those 2 bytes in little-endian or bigendian.)
- Ordinary multiplication of two *n*-digit numbers *x* and *y* costs  $n^2$ .
- Anatoly Karatsuba (1962) showed a **divide-and-conquer** method that is better.
	- Split each number into two chunks, each with  $n/2$  digits:
		- $x = a \cdot 10^{n/2} + b$
		- $y = c \cdot 10^{n/2} + d$

The base 10 is arbitrary; the same idea works in any base, such as 2.

• Now we can calculate  $xy = ac10^n + (bc + ad)10^{n/2} + bd$ . This calculation uses four multiplications, each costing  $(n/2)^2$ , so it still

costs  $n^2$ . All the additions and shifts (multiplying by powers of 10) cost just  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ , which we ignore.

- We can use the Recursion Theorem (page [17](#page-16-0) ):  $c_n = n + 4c_{n/2}$ . Then  $a = 4$ ,  $b = 2$ ,  $k = 1$ , so  $a > b^k$ , so  $c_n = \Theta(n^{\log_b(a)}) =$  $\Theta(n^{\log_2(4)}) = \Theta(n^2).$
- But we can introduce  $u = ac$ ,  $v = bd$ , and  $w = (a + b)(c + d)$  at a cost of  $(3/4)n^2$ .
- Now  $xy = u10^n + (w u v)10^{n/2} + v$ , which costs no further multiplications.
- Example
	- $x = 3962$ ,  $y = 4481$
	- $a = 39$ ,  $b = 62$ ,  $c = 44$ ,  $d = 81$
	- $u = ac = 1716$ ,  $v = bd = 5022$ ,  $w = (a + b)(c + d) = 12625$
	- $w u v = 5887$
	- $xy = 17753722$ .
- $\bullet$  In *bc*:
- $1 \times = 3962$  $2 y = 4481$  $3a = 39$  $4 b = 62$  $5 \text{ C} = 44$  $6 d = 81$  $7 u = a * c$  $8 \text{ V} = b \star d$  $9 W = (a+b) * (c+d)$ <sup>10</sup> x \* y  $11 u * 10^4 + (w-u-v) * 10^2 + v$
- We can apply this construction recursively.  $c_n = n + 3c_{n/2}$ . We can again apply the Recursion Theorem (page [17](#page-16-0)):  $a = 3$ ,  $b = 2$ ,  $k = 1$ , so  $a > b^k$ , so  $c_n = \Theta(n^{\log_b a}) = \Theta(n^{\log_2 3}) \approx \Theta(n^{1.585})$ .
- For small *n*, this improvement is small. But for  $n = 100$ , we reduce the cost from 10,000 to about 1480. Running bc  $-1$ :

```
1 power=1(3)/1(2)2 a=100
```

```
\text{3} e(power*l(a))
```

```
1 bigInt bigMult(bigInt x, y; int n) {
2 // n-chunk multiply of x and y
3 bigInt a, b, c, d, u, v, w;
\mathbf{if} (n == 1) \mathbf{return} (toBigInt(toInt(x) *toInt(y)));
5 a = extractPart(x, 0, n/2 - 1); // high part of x
    b = extractPart(x, n/2, n-1); // low part of x
\sigma c = extractPart(y, 0, n/2 - 1); // high part of y
8 d = extractPart(y, n/2, n-1); // low part of y
9 u = bigMult(a, c, n/2); // recursive
v = \text{bigMult}(b, d, n/2); // recursive
w = bigMult(bigAdd(a, b), bigAdd(c, d), n/2); // recursive12 return(
13 bigAdd(
14 bigShift(u, n),
15 bigAdd(
16 bigShift(bigSubtract(w, bigAdd(u,v)), n/2),
17 v
18 ) // add
19 ) // add
20 );
21 }
```
# **73 Strings and pattern matching — Text search problem**

- $\text{Class } 24, 4/20/2021$
- The problem: Find a match for pattern p within a text t, where  $|p| =$ *m* and  $|t| = n$ .
- Application: t is a long string of bytes (a "message"), and  $p$  is a short string of bytes (a "word").
- We will look at several algorithms; there are others.
	- Brute force:  $\mathcal{O}(mn)$ . Typical: 1.1*n* (operations).
	- Rabin-Karp:  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ . Typical: 7*n*.
	- Knuth-Morris-Pratt:  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  Typical: 1.1*n*.
	- Boyer-Moore: worst  $\mathcal{O}(mn)$ . Typical:  $n/m$ .

• Non-classical version: approximate match, regular expressions, more complicated patterns.

## **74 Text search — brute force algorithm**

• Return the smallest index j such that  $t[j]$  ..  $j + m - 1] = p$ , or  $-1$  if there is no match.

```
1 int bruteSearch(char *t, char *p) {
2 // returns index in t where p is found, or -1
3 const int n = \text{strlen}(t);
4 const int m = strlen(p);
5 int tIndex = 0;
\mathfrak{p}[\mathfrak{m}] = 0xFF; // impossible character; pseudo-data
7 while (tIndex+m <= n) { // there is still room to find p
\mathbf{s} int pIndex = 0;
9 while (t[tIndex+pIndex] == p[pIndex]) // enlarge match
_{10} pIndex += 1;11 if (pIndex == m) return(tIndex); // hit pseudo-data
tIndex += 1;13 } // there is still room to find p
14 return (-1); // failure
15 } // bruteSearch
```
- Example:  $p = "001", t = "010001".$
- Worst case:  $\mathcal{O}((n-m)m) = \mathcal{O}(nm)$
- If the patterns are fairly random, we observe complexity  $\mathcal{O}(n-m)$  =  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ ; in practice, complexity is about 1.1*n*.

# **75 Text search — Rabin-Karp**

- Michael Rabin, Richard Karp (1987)
- The idea is to do a preliminary hash-based check each time we increment tIndex and skip this value of *tIndex* if there is no chance that this position works.
- Problem: how can we avoid  $m$  accesses to compute the hash of the next piece of t?
- We will start with **fingerprinting**, a weak version of the final method, just looking at parity, and assuming the strings are composed of 0 and 1 characters.
- The parity of a string of 0 and 1 characters is 0 if the number of 1 characters is even; otherwise the parity is 1.
- Formula: parity  $= \sum_j p[j] \pmod{2}$ .
- We can compute the parities of windows of  $m(= 6)$  bits in t. For example,

```
j 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
   t 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1<br>rity 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1
tParity | 1 \t1 \t0 \t1 \t0 \t1 \t0 \t1 \t0 \t1 \t0 \t0 \t1 \t1
```
- Say that  $p = 010111$ , which has pParity = 0. We only need to consider matches starting at positions 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 11.
- We have saved half the work.
- We can calculate tParity quickly as we move  $p$  by looking at only 2, not  $p$ , characters of  $t$ :
	- Initially, tParity $_0 = \sum_{0 \leq j < m} t[j] \pmod{2}.$
	- Then, tParity<sub>j+1</sub> = tParity<sub>j</sub> +  $t[j]$  +  $t[j+m]$  (mod 2)

```
1 bit computeParity(bit *string, int length) {
2 bit answer = 0;
3 for (int index = 0; index < length; index += 1) {
4 answer += string[index];
5 }
    6 return (answer & 01);
7 } // computeParity
8
9 int fingerprintSearch(bit *t, bit *p) {
10 const int n = \text{strlen}(t);
11 const int m = \text{strlen}(p);
12 const int pParity = computeParity(p, m);
13 int tParity = computeParity(t, m); // initial substring
_{14} int tIndex = 0;
15 while (tIndex+m <= n) { // there is still room to find p
16 if (tParity == pParity) { // parity check ok
_{17} int pIndex = 0;
18 while (t[tIndex+plane)] == p[plane)] \frac{1}{t} enlarge match
19 pIndex += 1;
20 if (pIndex >= m) return(tIndex);
\left\{\frac{1}{21}\right\} // enlarge match
22 } // parity check ok
23 tParity = (tParity + t[tIndex] + t[tIndex+m]) & 01;
24 tIndex += 1;25 } // there is still room to find p
26 return (-1); // failure
27 } // fingerprintSearch
```
- Instead of bits, we can deal with character arrays.
	- We generalize parity to the exclusive OR of characters, which are just 8-bit quantities.
	- The C operator for exclusive OR is  $\hat{ }$ .
	- The update rule for tParity is

```
tParity = tParity \hat{t} t[tIndex] \hat{t} t[tIndex+m];
```
• We now have reduced the work to 1/128 (for 7-bit ASCII), not 1/2, for the random case, because only that small fraction of starting positions are worth pursuing.

- The full algorithm extends fingerprinting.
	- Instead of reducing the work to 1/2 or 1/128, we want to reduce it to  $1/q$  for some large q.
	- Use this hash function for m bytes  $t[j] \dots t[j+m-1]$ :  $\sum_{0\leq i < m} 2^{m-1-i}t[j+i] \pmod q$ . Experience suggests that  $q$  should be a prime  $> m$ .
	- We can still update  $\text{tParity}$  quickly as we move p by looking at only 2, not  $p$ , characters of  $t$ :

tParity<sub>j+1</sub> =  $(t[j+m]+2$ (tParity<sub>j</sub> -  $2^{m-1}t[j])$ ) (mod q).

• We can use shifting to compute tParity without multiplication: tParity<sub>j+1</sub> =  $(t[j+m]+(t\text{Parity}_j-(t[j]] << (m-1)) << 1)$  $\pmod{q}$ . We still need to compute mod q, however.

•  $\text{Class } 25, 4/22/2021$ 

- Monte-Carlo substring search
	- Choose q, a prime q close to but not exceeding  $mn^2$ . For instance, if  $m = 10$  and  $n = 1000$ , choose a prime q near  $10^7$ , such as 9,999,991.
	- The probability  $1/q$  that we will make a mistake is very low, so just omit the inner loop. We will sometimes have a false positive, with probability, it turns out, less than  $2.53/n$ .
	- I don't think we save enough computation to warrant using Monte Carlo search. If false positives are very rare, it doesn't hurt to employ even a very expensive algorithm to remove them. Checking anyway is called the "Las-Vegas version".
- The idea is good, but in practice Rabin-Karp takes about  $7n$  work.

#### **76 Text search — Knuth–Morris–Pratt**

- Donald Knuth, James Morris, Vaughan Pratt, 1970-1977.
- Consider  $t$  = Tweedledee and Tweedledum,  $p$  = Tweedledum.
- After running the inner loop of brute-force search to the  $u$  in  $p$ , we have learned much about  $t$ , enough to realize that none of the letters up to that point in  $t$  (except the first) are  $\tau$ . So the next place to start a match in  $t$  is not position 1, but position 8.
- Consider  $t =$  pappappappar,  $p =$  pappar.
- After running the inner loop of brute-force search to the  $r$  in  $p$ , we have learned much about  $t$ , enough to realize that the first place in  $t$ that can match  $p$  starts not at position 1, but rather in position 3 (the third p). Moving  $p$  to that position lets us continue in the middle of  $p$ , never retreating in  $t$  at all.
- How much to shift  $p$  depends on how much of it matches when we encounter a mismatch in the inner loop. This **shift table** describes the first example.



 $_{10}$  pIndex  $+= 1;$ 

```
11 if (pIndex >= m) return (tIndex);
```

```
\frac{1}{2} // enlarge match
```

```
13 const int shiftAmount = shiftTable[pIndex - 1];
```

```
14 tIndex += shiftAmount;
```

```
15 pIndex = max(0, pIndex-shiftAmount);
```

```
16 } // there is still room to find p
```

```
17 return(-1); // failure
```

```
18 } // KMPSearch
```
• Unfortunately, computing the shift table, although  $\mathcal{O}(m)$ , is not straight-

forward, so we omit it.

• The overall cost is guaranteed  $\mathcal{O}(n+m)$ , but  $m < n$ , so  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ . In practice, it makes about 1.1n comparisons.

## **77 Text search — Boyer – Moore simple**

- Robert S. Boyer, J. Strother Moore (1977)
- We start by modifying bruteSearch to search from the end of  $p$ backwards.

```
1 int backwardSearch(char *t, char *p) {
2 const int n = strlen(t);
3 const int m = \text{strlen}(p);
\text{int} \text{tIndex} = 0;
5 while (tIndex+m <= n) { // there is still room to find p
6 int pIndex = m-1;
7 while (t[tIndex+pIndex] == p[pIndex]) { // enlarge match
\sum_{s} pIndex \sum_{s} = 1;
9 if (pIndex < 0) return(tIndex);
10 \frac{1}{2} // enlarge match
11 tIndex += 1;12 } // there is still room to find p
13 return (-1); // failure
14 } // backwardSearch
```
- **Occurrence heuristic**: At a mismatch, say at letter  $\alpha$  in t, shift p to align the rightmost occurrence of  $\alpha$  in p with that  $\alpha$  in the text. But don't move p to the left. If  $\alpha$  does not occur at all in p, move p to one position after  $\alpha$ .
- Method: Initialize location array for  $p$ :

```
1 int location[256];
2 // location[c] is the last position in p holding char c
3
4 void initLocation(char *p) {
5 const int m = \text{string}(p);
6 for (int charVal = 0; charVal < 256; charVal += 1) {
7 \text{ location}[charVal] = -1;8 }
9 for (int pIndex = 0; pIndex < m; pIndex += 1) {
10 location[p[pIndex]] = pIndex;
11 }
12 } // initLocation
```
- Let  $\alpha$  be the failure character, which is found at a particular pIndex and tIndex.
- Slide p: tIndex += max(1, pIndex location[ $\alpha$ ])
- This formula works in all cases.
	- $\alpha$  not in p and pIndex =  $m-1 \Rightarrow a$  full shift: tIndex += m
	- $\alpha$  not in p and pIndex =  $\exists \Rightarrow$  a partial shift, larger if we haven't travelled far along  $p$ : tIndex += pIndex + 1
	- $\alpha$  is in p. We shift enough to align the rightmost  $\alpha$  of p with the one we failed on, or at least shift right by 1.
- Examples
	- $p = \text{rum}, t = \text{conundrum}.$  We shift p by 3, another 3, and find the match.
	- $p = \text{drum}, t = \text{conundrum}.$  We shift p by 1, by 4, and find the match.
	- $p =$  natu,  $t =$  conundrum. We shift  $p$  by 2, then fail.
	- $p =$  date,  $t =$  detective. We would shift p left, so we just shift right 1, then 4, then fail.
- $\text{Class } 26, 4/27/2021$
- **Match heuristic**: Use a shift table (organized for right-to-left search) as with the Knuth–Morris–Pratt algorithm.
- Use both the occurrence and the match heuristics, and shift by the larger of the two suggestions.
- **Horspool's version** (Nigel Horspool, 1980): on a mismatch, look at β, which is the element in t where we started matching, that is,  $β =$  $t_{i+m-1}$ . Shift so that  $\beta$  in t aligns with the rightmost occurrence of  $\beta$ in *p* (not counting  $p_{m-1}$ ).
	- This method always shifts  $p$  to the right.
	- We need to precompute for each letter of the alphabet where its rightmost occurrence in *p* is, not counting  $p_{m-1}$ . In particular:
	- shift[ $\beta$ ] = if  $\beta$  in  $p_{0..m-2}$  then  $m-1-max\{j|j < m-1, p_j = \beta\}$ else m.

## **78 Advanced pattern matching, as in Perl**

- Based on regular expressions; can be compiled into finite-state automata.
	- exact: conundrum
	- don't-care symbols: con.ndr..
	- character classes: c[ou1-5]nundrum
	- $\bullet$  alternation:  $c$  (o|u) nund (rum|ite)
	- repetition:
		- $\bullet$  c(on)  $*$ und
		- $\bullet$  c(on) +und
		- $c$  (on)  $\{4, 5\}$ und
	- predefined character classes: c\wnundrum\d\W
	- Unicode character classes: c\p{ASCII}nundrum\p{digit}\p{Final\_Punctuation}
	- pseudo-characters: ˆconundrum\$
- Beyond regular expressions in Perl
	- Reference to "capture groups": con (un | an)  $dr \ln$
	- Zero-width assertions: (?=conundrum)

#### **79 Edit distance**

• How much do we need to change  $s$  (source) to make it look like  $d$ (destination) ?
- Charge 1 for each replacement (R), deletion (D), insertion (I).
- Example: ghost  $\stackrel{D}{\to}$  host  $\stackrel{I}{\to}$  houst  $\stackrel{R}{\to}$  house
- The **edit distance** (s,d) is the smallest number of operations to transform s to d.
- We can build an edit-distance table  $d$  by this rule:  $d_{i,j} = \min(d_{i-1,j} + 1, d_{i,j-1} + 1, d_{i-1,j-1} + \text{if } s[i] = d[i]$  then 0 else 1).
- Example: peseta  $\rightarrow$  presto (should get distance 3).



- We can trace back from the last cell to see exactly how to navigate to the start cell: pick any smallest neighbor to left/above.
	- ↓: delete a character from source (left string)
	- $\rightarrow$ : insert a character from destination (top string)
	- $\backslash$ : keep the same character (if number the same) or replace a character in the source (left string) with one from the destination (top string).
- complexity:  $\mathcal{O}(nm)$  to calculate the array; the preprocessing is just to start up the array, of cost  $\mathcal{O}(n+m)$ .
- Another example: convert banana to antenna. It should take only 4 edits.
- $\text{Class } 27, 4/29/2021$
- This algorithm is in the **dynamic programming** category. Pascal's triangle is another, as is finding the rectangle in an array with the largest sum of values (some negative).

#### **80 Categories of algorithms**

• Divide and conquer

- Greedy
- Dynamic programming
- Search

# **81 Divide and conquer algorithms**

- steps
	- if the problem size  $n$  is trivial, do it.
	- divide the problem into a easier problems of size  $n/b$ .
	- $\bullet$  do the *a* easier problems
	- combine the answers.
- We can usually compute the complexity by the Recursion Theorem (page [17\)](#page-16-0).
- cost:  $n^k$  for splitting, recomputing, so  $C_n = n^k + aC_{n/b}$ .
- Select *j*th smallest element of an array.  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 2$ ,  $k = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n)$ .
- Quicksort.  $a = 2$ ,  $b = 2$ ,  $k = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ .
- Binary search, search in a binary tree.  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 2$ ,  $k = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\log n)$ .
- Multiplication (Karatsuba)  $a = 3$ ,  $b = 2$ ,  $k = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n^{\log_2 3})$ .
- Tile an  $n \times n$  board that is missing a single cell by a trimino:  $a = 4$ ,  $b = 4, k = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n).$



• Mergesort:

```
1 void mergeSort(int array[], int lowIndex, int highIndex){
2 // sort array[lowIndex] .. array[highIndex]
3 if (highIndex - lowIndex < 1) return; // width 0 or 1
4 int mid = (lowIndex+highIndex)/2;
```
<sup>5</sup> mergeSort(array, lowIndex, mid);

```
6 mergeSort(array, mid+1, highIndex);
```

```
7 merge(array, lowIndex, highIndex);
```
<sup>8</sup> } // mergeSort

 $a = 2$ ,  $b = 2$ ,  $k = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ .

# **82 Greedy algorithms**

General rule: Enlarge the current solution by selecting (usually in a simple way) the best single-step improvement.

- Computing the coins for change: greedily apply the biggest available coin first.
	- not always optimal: consider denominations 1, 6, 10, and we wish to construct 12.
	- Denominations 1, 5, 10 guarantee optimality.
	- Power-of-two coins would be very nice: no more than 1 of each needed for change. British measures follow this rule: fluid ounce : tablespoon : quarter-gill : half-gill : gill : cup : pint : quart : half gallon : gallon
	- Similar problem: putting weights on barbells.
- Kruskal's algorithm for computing a minimum-cost spanning tree: greedily add edges of increasing weight, avoiding cycles.
- Prim's algorithm for computing a minimum-cost spanning tree: greedily enlarge the current spanning tree with the shortest edge leading out.
- Dijkstra's algorithm for all shortest paths from a source: greedily pick the cheapest extension of all paths so far.
- Hoffman codes for data compression
	- Start with a table of frequencies, like this one:

```
space 60
A 22
O 16
R 13
S 6
T 4
```
A text containing all these characters in the given frequencies would take  $60 + 22 + 16 + 13 + 6 + 4 = 121$  7-bit units or 847 bits.

• Build a table of codes, like this one:



The same text now uses  $60 \cdot 1 + 22 \cdot 3 + ... + 4 \cdot 4 = 253$  bits.

• To decode: follow a tree:



- To build the tree
	- Each character is a node.
	- Greedily take the two least common nodes, combine them as children of a new parent, and label that parent with the combined frequency of the two children.
- Adding a million real numbers, all in the range  $0 \ldots 1$ , losing minimal precision
	- Remove the two smallest numbers from the set. (This step is greedy: take the numbers whose sum can be computed with the least precision loss.)
	- Insert their sum in the set.
	- Use a heap to represent the set.
- Continuous knapsack problem
	- Given a set of *n* objects  $x_i$ , each with a weight  $w_i$  and profit  $p_i$ , and a total weight capacity  $C$ , select objects (to put in a knapsack) that together weigh  $\leq C$  and maximize profit. We are allowed to take fractions of an object.
- Greedy method
	- Start with an empty knapsack.
	- Sort the objects in decreasing order of  $p_i/w_i$ .
	- Greedy step: Take all of each object in the list, if it fits. If it fits partially, take a fraction of the object, then done.
	- Stop when the knapsack is full.
- Example.



sorted: 4, 1, 2, 5, 3. If capacity  $C = 600$ , take all of 4, 1, 2, 5, and 40/200 of 3.

- This greedy algorithm happens to be optimal.
- 0/1 knapsack problem: Same as before, but no fractions are allowed. The greedy algorithm is still fast, but it is not guaranteed optimal.

# **83 Dynamic programming**

General rule: Solve all smaller problems and use their solutions to compute the solution to the next problem.

- Compute Fibonacci numbers:  $f_i = f_{i-1} + f_{i-2}$ .
- Compute binomial coefficients:  $C(n, i) = C(n-1, i-1) + C(n-1, i)$ .
- Compute minimal edit distance.

## **84 Summary of algorithms covered**

- Class 28,  $5/4/2021$
- Graphs
	- Computing the degree of all vertices: Loop over representation
	- Computing the connected component containing node  $i$  in an undirected graph: Depth-first search, recursive, avoiding vertices already visited
- Breadth-first search: use a queue, iterative, avoiding vertices already visited, perhaps with back-pointers
- Shortest path between nodes *i* and *j*: use a priority queue (heap) sorted by distance from *i*.
- Topological sort: recursive; build list as the last step.
- Dijkstra's algorithm: Finding all shortest paths from given node Greedy: extend currently shortest path
- Prim's algorithm for spanning trees: Greedy, repeatedly add shortest outgoing edge
- Kruskal's algorithm for spanning trees: Greedy, repeatedly add shortest edge that does not build a cycle.
- Cycle detection: Union-find: All vertices in a component point (possibly indirectly) to a representative; union joins representatives.
- Numerical algorithms
	- Euclidean algorithm for greatest common divisor (GCD): Repeatedly take modulus.
	- Fast exponentiation: represent the exponent in binary to guide the steps
	- Integer multiplication (Karatsuba): Subdivide a problem of size  $n \times n$  into three problems of size  $n/2 \times n/2$ .
- Strings and pattern matching Text search problem
	- Text search Brute-force algorithm: Try each position for the pattern p.
	- Text search Rabin-Karp: Hash-based pre-check each time  $p$ moves over.
	- Text search Knuth–Morris–Pratt: Precomputed shift table tells how far to move  $p$  on a mismatch.
	- Text search Boyer Moore simple: Match starting at the end of p; can jump great distances.
	- Edit distance Dynamic programming, finding edit distance of several subproblems to guide the next subproblem.
- Miscellaneous
	- Tiling (divide and conquer)
- Mergesort (divide and conquer)
- Computing Fibonacci numbers (dynamic programming)
- Computing binomial coefficients (dynamic programming)
- Continuous knapsack problem (greedy)
- Coin changing (greedy)
- Hoffman codes (greedy)

## **85 Tractability**

- Formal definition of  $\mathcal{O}: f(n) = \mathcal{O}(g(n))$  iff for adequately large *n*, and some constant c, we have  $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$ . That is, f is bounded above by some multiple of g. We can say that  $f$  grows no faster than g.
- Formal definition of  $\Theta$ :  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$  iff for adequately large *n*, and some constants  $c_1, c_2$ , we have  $c_1 \cdot g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 \cdot g(n)$ . We say that  $f$  grows as fast as  $g$ .
- Formal definition of  $\Omega$  is similar;  $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$  means that f grows at least as fast as q.
- We usually say that a problem is **tractable** if we can solve it in polynomial time (with respect to the problem size  $n$ ). We also say the program is **efficient**.
	- constant time:  $\mathcal{O}(1)$
	- logarithmic time:  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
	- linear time:  $\mathcal{O}(n)$
	- sub-quadratic:  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$  (for instance)
	- quadratic time:  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$
	- cubic time:  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$
	- These are all bounded by  $\mathcal{O}(n^k)$  for some fixed k.
	- However, if k is large, even tractable problems can be infeasible to solve. In practice, algorithms seldom have  $k > 3$ .
- There are many algorithms that take more than polynomial time.
	- exponential:  $\mathcal{O}(2^n)$ .
	- super-exponential:  $\mathcal{O}(n!)$  (for example)
	- $\bullet \ \mathcal{O}(n^n)$
	- $\bullet$   $\mathcal{O}(2^{2^n})$

### **86 Decision problems, function problems, P, NP**

- Decision problems: The answer is just "yes" or "no".
	- Primality: is *n* prime? (There are very fast probabilistic algorithms, and recently a polynomial algorithm).
	- Is there a path from  $a$  to  $b$  shorter than 10?
	- Are two graphs  $G$  and  $F$  isomorphic? (Apparently very hard)
	- Can graph  $G$  be colored with 3 colors? (Apparently very hard)
- Function problems: the answer is a number.
	- What is the smallest prime divisor of  $n$ ?
	- What is the weight of a minimum-weight spanning tree of  $G$ ?
- We use  $P$  to refer to the set of decision problems that can be decided in polynomial time. That is, for all problems  $p \in P$ , there must be an algorithm and a positive number  $k$  such that the time of the algorithm for p is  $\mathcal{O}(|x|^k)$  where  $|x|$  means the size of x.
- We use *NP* to refer to the set of decision problems that can be decided in polynomial time if we are allowed to guess a witness to a "yes" answer and only need to check it.
	- Is there a path from  $a$  to  $b$  shorter than 10? Guess the path, find its length.  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .
	- Are two graphs  $G$  and  $F$  isomorphic? Guess the isomorphism, then check, requiring  $\mathcal{O}(v + e)$ .
	- Can graph  $G$  be colored with 3 colors? Guess the coloring, then demonstrate that it is right;  $\mathcal{O}(v+e)$ .
	- Is there a set of Boolean values for variables  $x_1, ..., x_n$  that satisfies a given Boolean formula (using "and", "or", and "not")? Guess the values, check in linear time (in the length of the formula).
- Properties of *P* and *NP* (and *EXP*, decision problems that can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}(k^n)$ ).
	- $P \subseteq NP \subseteq EXP$
	- $P \subset EXP$
	- if a problem in *NP* has g possible witnesses, then it has an algorithm in  $\mathcal{O}(g^n)$ .
- Some problems can be proved to be "hardest" in  $NP$ . The are called  $NP$ -complete problems. All other problems in  $NP$  can be reduced to such  ${\cal NP}$  -complete problems.
- $\bullet\,$  Nobody knows, but people suspect that  $P\subset NP\subset EXP.$